

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,254	02/22/2002	Bernard F. Garceau	6523-54	9827
21324	7590 10/04/2003	EXAMINER		INER
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP TWIN OAKS ESTATE			GREEN, CHRISTY MARIE	
	KET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH	44313		3635	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,254	GARCEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christy M Green	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply  within the statutory minimum of thirty (3)  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS  cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
·a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, process, and a control of					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	- ·					
Attachment(s)		,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first office action for serial number 10/081254, entitled Reinforcing Bracket for a Trailer Frame, filed on February 22, 2002.

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "52" in figures 4 and 5. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the longitudinal members in claim 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris, US patent # 4,032,242.

Morris discloses the claimed invention a reinforcing bracket (10) comprising a first side (21), a second side (22), a third side (35) wherein the first side and the second side each include a plurality of dimples (see attached figures 5 and 6), each dimple having at least one aperture (24, 25) therein, and the third side included at least one aperture ("36" – column 3, lines 53-56); the first and second sides have two dimples (figures 3 and 6); the two dimples are substantially vertically stacked (figures 3 and 6); the dimples in the first and second sides are substantially vertically stacked (figures 3 and 6); the third side (35) is substantially bilaminar (figures 2 and 3); and, the angle between the first and second side is not 90 degrees, is greater than 90 degrees and is less that 90 degrees (column 4, lines 46-50).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris.

Morris discloses the claimed invention as stated above in claim 1, except for the bracket is adapted to fasten to the trailer frame at an angled junction of an A-frame and

Application/Control Number: 10/081,254

Art Unit: 3635

a cross-member. It is obvious that the corner joint brace of Morris can be used for the same function within a junction of an A-frame and a cross-member, although it is not intended to be used within a trailer frame, also, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense and is therefore not given much patentable weight.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiss, UK Patent # 2,044,193 in view Morris.

Hiss discloses the claimed invention including a bolt-together trailer frame (figure 1 – pg. 1, column 1, lines 15-19) comprising at least two longitudinal members (1 and 2), at least two cross members (6a, 3) attached to the longitudinal members (1,2 – by 7a and 8a) by bolts (page 1, column 2, lines 112-113 and lines 123-126), two a-frame members (where 5 points to) wherein angles formed between the a-frame members and a cross member are not ninety degrees (see attached figure 1); and, at least one of the a-frame member and the cross member have a flange extending therefrom (see attached figure 1).

Hiss also discloses a bracket fastening an A-frame member to a cross member (see attached figure 1) but does not disclose that the bracket comprises first side, a second side, a third side wherein the first side and the second side each include a plurality of dimples, each dimple having at least one aperture therein, and the third side included at least one aperture, the first and second sides have two dimples, the third side is substantially bilaminar and, the angle between the first and second side is not 90

Application/Control Number: 10/081,254

Art Unit: 3635

degrées, is greater than 90 degrees and is less that 90 degrees. Morris teaches that it

wherein the first side and the second side each include a plurality of dimples, each

is known in the art to provide bracket comprising first side, a second side, a third side

dimple having at least one aperture therein, and the third side included at least one

aperture, the first and second sides have two dimples, the third side is substantially

bilaminar and, the angle between the first and second side is not 90 degrees, is greater

than 90 degrees and is less that 90 degrees as shown above. It would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

provide the bracket of Morris with the trailer frame of Hiss in order to fasten the a-frame

members and the cross members and an angle not ninety degrees and to provide an

improved construction of a corner joint brace to deter separation of the members of the

braced corner joint (column 1, lines 47-51).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy M Green whose telephone number is 703-308-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/081,254

Art Unit: 3635

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

September 26, 2003

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600